



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



K

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,107	06/27/2001	Shinji Kawamoto	10873.760US01	4882
23552 75	590 01/14/2003			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	

 $\mathcal{U}$ 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			AS-2
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/893,107	KAWAMOTO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Andrew T Piziali	1775
Peri	Th MAILING DATE of this communication od for Reply	app ars on the cover she t	with the correspond nce address
- - -	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard property received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N atute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirly (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{1}{2}$	27 June 2001 .	
	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncosition of Claims	owance except for formal r der <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4	$\mathbb{N}$ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7	7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8	Claim(s) $1-30$ are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
App	lication Papers		
Ś	P) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10	D) $\square$ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\square$ a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection t		
1	I) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_$	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, -	
12	2)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13	B) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	n Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).
14	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•	
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language  i)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has	s been received.
	hment(s)	p a.idoi oo o.o	: • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1) 2)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/893,107

Art Unit: 1775

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I Claims 1-27, drawn to a window glass, classified in class 428, subclass 209.

Group II Claims 28-30, drawn to a method for manufacturing a window glass, classified in class 204, subclass 192.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. The product as claimed can be made by chemical vapor deposition rather than by sputtering.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. In the event that Group I is elected the current examiner will examine the application. In the event that Group II is elected the application will be transferred.
- 4. Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/893,107

Art Unit: 1775

Species 1, claims 1-6, drawn to a window glass for a vehicle comprising a transparent conductive film and a pair of bus bars wherein the surface resistance of the conductive film decreases from a longer bus bar toward a shorter bus bar.

Species 2, claims 7-12, drawn to a window glass for a vehicle comprising a transparent conductive film and a pair of bus bars wherein the surface resistance of the conductive film increases as the spacing between the bus bars is smaller.

Species 3, claims 13-18, drawn to a window glass for a vehicle comprising a transparent conductive film and a pair of bus bars wherein the surface resistance of the conductive film decreases from the cutout portion along the at least one edge.

Species 4, claims 19-23, drawn to a window glass for a vehicle comprising a transparent conductive film and a pair of bus bars wherein one of the bus bars turns the said corner and extends to an adjacent edge of the conductive film and wherein a region is provided between the cutout portion and the other bus bar where the surface resistance is higher than at other regions of the conductive film.

Species 5, claims 24-27, drawn to a window glass for a vehicle comprising a transparent conductive film and a pair of bus bars wherein the conductive film is provided with a comb-shaped portion that has cutout portions in contact with one of the bus bars.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/893,107

Art Unit: 1775

- 5. In the event that Group I is elected the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Due to the complexity of the restriction requirement, a telephone call was not made to Douglas P. Mueller on 1/7/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 09/893,107

Art Unit: 1775

Page 5

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 306-0145. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5665.

9-14

Andrew T Piziali Examiner Art Unit 1775

atp

January 7, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EVANIMED